Academic Programs

BT Major in Construction	
Electricity	Fall 2011 - Spring 2012
Academic Program	Assessment Period Covered
() Formative Assessment	May 2012
() Summative Assessment	Date Submitted

Academic Evaluation Question (Use a different form for each evaluation question):

Did the students practicing safety and occupational health procedures based on industry standards as required in the trade?

First Means of Assessment for Evaluation Question Identified Above (from your approved assessment plan):

1a. Means of Unit Assessment & Criteria for Success:

Students were assessed based on written exams (quizzes and exams) and performance test.

The assessment tool use in performance is the rubric rating Exemplary, Developing and Unacceptable. Where;

- ✓ Exemplary students who passed written exams with a score of 90 or higher. And students who performed practical tasks with no or minimum assistance from instructor to successfully complete assigned tasks.
- ✓ Developing students who passed written exams with a score between 70 and 89. And students who performed practical tasks with some assistance from instructor to successfully complete assigned tasks.
- ✓ Unacceptable students who failed [60 or below] written exams and performed poorly in completing tasks or never completed tasks.

1a. Summary of Assessment Data Collected:

The following table summarized the results of data as collected:

Course	# of students	Exemplary	Developing	Unacceptable
VEE 110	15	5	10	0
VEE 222	12	5	7	0
VEE 266	11	2	9	0
VEM 240	10	2	8	0

1a: Use of Results to Improve the Program:

Academic Programs

BT – Major in Construction	
Electricity	Fall 2011 - Spring 2012
Academic Program	Assessment Period Covered
() Formative Assessment	May 2012
() Summative Assessment	Date Submitted

Academic Evaluation Question (Use a different form for each evaluation question):

Did the students apply proper use of electrical hand and power tools competently during their work/activities?

First Means of Assessment for Evaluation Question Identified Above (from your approved assessment plan):

1a. Means of Unit Assessment & Criteria for Success:

Students were assessed based on written exams (quizzes and exams) and performance test (analog circuit's experiments).

The assessment tool use in performance is the rubric rating Exemplary, Developing and Unacceptable. Where;

- ✓ Exemplary students who passed written exams with a score of 90 or higher. And students who performed practical tasks with no or minimum assistance from instructor to successfully complete assigned tasks.
- ✓ Developing students who passed written exams with a score between 70 and 89. And students who performed practical tasks with some assistance from instructor to successfully complete assigned tasks.
- ✓ Unacceptable students who failed [60 or below] written exams and performed poorly in completing tasks or never completed tasks.

1a. Summary of Assessment Data Collected:

The following table summarized the results of data as collected:

Course	# of students	Exemplary	Developing	Unacceptable
VEE 110	15	8	7	0
VEE 222	12	5	7	0
VEM 104	15	10	5	0
VEM 240	10	8	2	0

1a: Use of Results to Improve the Program:

Academic Programs

BT- Major in Construction	
Electricity	Fall 2011 - Spring 2012
Academic Program	Assessment Period Covered
() Formative Assessment	May 2012
() Summative Assessment	Date Submitted

Academic Evaluation Question (Use a different form for each evaluation question):

Did the students able to use test equipment in their laboratory activities?

First Means of Assessment for Evaluation Question Identified Above (from your approved assessment plan):

1a. Means of Unit Assessment & Criteria for Success:

Students were assessed based on written exams (quizzes and exams) and performance test (power supply experiments, motor assembly, and motor control circuits).

The assessment tool use in performance is the rubric rating Exemplary, Developing and Unacceptable. Where;

- ✓ Exemplary students who passed written exams with a score of 90 or higher. And students who performed practical tasks with no or minimum assistance from instructor to successfully complete assigned tasks.
- ✓ Developing students who passed written exams with a score between 70 and 89. And students who performed practical tasks with some assistance from instructor to successfully complete assigned tasks.
- ✓ Unacceptable students who failed [60 or below] written exams and performed poorly in completing tasks or never completed tasks.

1a. Summary of Assessment Data Collected:

The following table summarized the results of data as collected:

Course	# of students	Exemplary	Developing	Unacceptable
VEM 104	15	12	3	0
VEE 110	15	10	5	0
VEE 222	12	7	5	0
VEE 266	11	5	6	
VEM 240	10	5	5	0

1a: Use of Results to Improve the Program:

Academic Programs

BT – Major in Construction	
<i>Electricity</i>	Fall 2011 - Spring 2012
Academic Program	Assessment Period Covered
() Formative Assessment	<i>May 2012</i>
() Summative Assessment	Date Submitted

Academic Evaluation Question (Use a different form for each evaluation question):

Did the students read and interpret schematic wiring diagram in their wiring activities?

First Means of Assessment for Evaluation Question Identified Above (from your approved assessment plan):

1a. Means of Unit Assessment & Criteria for Success:

Students were assessed based on written exams (quizzes and exams) and performance test (AC circuit troubleshooting, Analog devices experiments, motor circuits and control wiring).

The assessment tool use in performance is the rubric rating Exemplary, Developing and Unacceptable. Where;

- ✓ Exemplary students who passed written exams with a score of 90 or higher. And students who performed practical tasks with no or minimum assistance from instructor to successfully complete assigned tasks.
- ✓ Developing students who passed written exams with a score between 70 and 89. And students who performed practical tasks with some assistance from instructor to successfully complete assigned tasks.
- ✓ Unacceptable students who failed [60 or below] written exams and performed poorly in completing tasks or never completed tasks.

1a. Summary of Assessment Data Collected:

The following table summarized the results of data as collected:

Course	# of students	Exemplary	Developing	Unacceptable
VEM 240	10	8	2	0
VEE 110	15	10	5	0
VEE 222	12	7	5	0
VEE 266	11	5	6	0
VEM 212	14	12	2	0

1a: Use of Results to Improve the Program: